

Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 03262 01 OF 05 101906Z

73

ACTION ACDA-19

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-07 H-03 INR-10 L-03

NSAE-00 NSC-07 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SP-03 SS-20

USIA-15 TRSE-00 SAJ-01 IO-14 OIC-04 AEC-11 OMB-01

SAM-01 DRC-01 ACDE-00 /152 W
----- 100345

P R 101730Z JUN 74

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 6220

SECDEF WASHDC PRIORITY

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

USDEL MBFR VIENNA

USNMR SHAPE

USCINCEUR

AMEMBASSY LONDON

S E C R E T SECTION 1 OF 5 USNATO 3262

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PARM, NATO

SUBJECT: MBFR: DEFINED GROUND TRAINING AREAS

REF: A) USNATO 2778 B) STATE 105642 C) STATE 087762

SUMMARY: STAFF GROUP HAS NOW CIRCULATED THIRD REVISION OF ITS DRAFT REPORT ON DEFINED GROUND TRAINING AREAS (DOCUMENT #AC/276-WP'74)6(3RD REVISE). TEXT OF REPORT, TOGETHER WITH ANNEXES A, B AND D APPEAR AT PARA 5 BELOW. ANNEX C IS A MAP SOWING LOCATIONS OF AREAS LISTED IN FIRST TWO ANNEXES. ANNEX D ALSO HAS A MAP SHOWING PERTINENT LOCATIONS. WG WILL DISCUSS PAPER AT ITS JUNE 12 MEETING. ACTION REQUESTED: WASHINGTON REACTIONS, IF POSSIBLE BY JUNE 12. END SUMMARY

1. FOLLOWING MAY 7 WG MEETING (DETAILS REF A), AND ON THE BASIS OF REF (B) GUIDANCE, MISSION WORKED WITH STAFF GROUP TO BUILD INTO NEW DRAFT WASHINGTON'S PREFERRED APPROACH TO
SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 03262 01 OF 05 101906Z

DEFINITION OF TRAINING AREAS, AS SET FORTH IN REF (C). US APPROACH NOW APPEARS IN PARAGRAPH 6.

2. IN DEVELOPING NEW LAY-OUT OF PAPER WE SUCCEEDED IN HAVING

THE US AND THE 100 SQUARE KM. APPROACHES APPEAR FIRST, AS MILITARY TECHNICAL OPTIONS. THESE ARE FOLLOWED BY THE THREE "POLITICAL" OPTIONS, WHICH ARE NEEDED TO SATISFY FRG REQUIREMENTS TO HAVE AT LEAST ONE NON-GERMAN TRAINING AREA INCLUDED IN LIST. ALTHOUGH WE TRIED, WE COULD NOT OBTAIN REMOVAL OF THE 100 SQUARE KM. APPROACH AS THE OTHER "TECHNICAL" OPTION. IMS FELT IT SHOULD REMAIN BECAUSE ITS INCLUSION IS THE ONLY WAY TO PREVENT UK FROM RETURNING TO ITS PREFERENCE FOR INCLUDING A LARGER NUMBER OF SMALLER CAPACITY AREAS; I.E. THOSE IN WHICH WP COULD "CONCENTRATE" A DIVISION SIZE FORCE (SEE PARA 3 OF USNATO 2069).

3. REGARDING THE US OPTION ITSELF, SEVERAL ALLIES (ESPECIALLY FRG) HAVE OBJECTED (SEE PARA 3 OF REF A) TO SOVIETS BEING ALLOWED TO LIST AN UNLIMITED NUMBER OF TRAINING AREAS. THIS OBJECTION IS REGISTERED IN PARA 7 OF NEW DRAFT. WOULD APPRECIATE ANY SUPPORTING ARGUMENTS FOR US APPROACH IF WASHINGTON WISHES US TO SEEK TO MODIFY THIS LANGUAGE. IN ANY CASE, PARAGRAPH 9 OF NEW REPORT (WHICH WE ALSO REVIEWED WITH IMS) PROVIDES SOME BALANCE TO THESE OBJECTIONS, AND WOULD SEEM TO COVER THE US POSITION. SINCE FRG CAN PROBABLY ACCEPT NEW PAPER AND OTHERS WILL PROBABLY GO ALONG WITH WHATEVER IS ACCEPTABLE TO US AND FRG, FURTHER ACTION IN SPC NOW DEPENDS ON US.

4. WHILE RESOLUTION OF FRG'S PROBLEM IS NOT GERMANE TO WG'S FORTHCOMING DISCUSSIONS, WE ALSO DISCUSSED WITH IMS POSSIBLE WAYS AROUND WHAT APPEARS TO BE VERY FIRM FRG POSITION TO INSURE INCLUSION OF AT LEAST ONE NON-GERMAN TRAINING AREA. IMS VOLUNTEERED A POSSIBLE COMPROMISE, WHICH WOULD BE TO INCLUDE IN US LIST A "TWINNED AREA" MADE UP OF ONE IN FRG AND ANOTHER IN BELGIUM CLOSE, IF NOT CONTIGUOUS, TO THE GERMAN AREA. VOGELSANG IN FRG AND ELSNBORN IN BELGIUM HAD COME TO MIND (SEE NUMBERS 14 AND 2 IN ANNEX A RESPECTIVELY). IMS WAS UNAWARE OF SCOPE OF TRAINING IN VOGELSANG, BUT DOUBTED WHETHER US CURRENTLY TRAINED THERE TO ANY SIGNIFICANT DEGREE. THEY ALSO HAD NO RELIABLE INFORMATION ON GEOGRAPHIC AND OTHER FACTORS WHICH MIGHT MAKE THIS PROPOSAL FEASIBLE, BUT WERE EXPLORING DETAILS WITH FRG. WE ARE INFORMED THAT FRG DEL MAY SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 03262 01 OF 05 101906Z

RECEIVE INFORMATION ON THIS SUBJECT IN VERY NEAR FUTURE. MEANWHILE, IMS WONDERED WHETHER US WOULD BE PREPARED TO CONSIDER SUCH A GENERAL APPROACH TO RESOLVE FRG'S POLITICAL PROBLEM. IMS REALIZES OF COURSE THAT US REF (C) DEFINITION OF A TRAINING AREA WOULD HAVE TO BE MODIFIED WERE SUCH A COMPROMISE SOLUTION ADOPTED. WE REPORT FOREGOING MERELY AS A THOUGHT WASHINGTON MIGHT WISH TO CONSIDER.

5. TEXT OF REVISED WG REPORT FOLLOWS.

BEGIN TEXT OF REPORT

1. AS REQUESTED, THE MBFR WORKING GROUP HAVE SOUGHT TO

DETERMINE THE LOCATION AND EXTENT OF DEFINED GROUND TRAINING AREAS, AND HAVE EXAMINED THE MILITARY IMPLICATIONS OF EXEMPTING EXERCISES IN THOSE AREAS FROM PRE-ANNOUNCEMENT AND LIMITATION AS ENVISAGED IN C-M(74)8(2ND REVISE). IT IS IMPORTANT THAT THIS PAPER BE READ AGAINST THE BACKGROUND OF C-M(74)8(2ND REVISE). THE FOLLOWING ELEMENTS OF THAT PAPER ARE OF RELEVANCE TO THE QUESTION OF IDENTIFYING "DEFINED TRAINING AREAS" AND TO THE COMPILATION OF A LIST OF DEFINED TRAINING AREAS CONSIDERED DESIRABLE TO NATO:

(A) IN PARAGRAPH 15

"A MAJOR MILITARY EXERCISE WOULD BE DEFINED AS THE DEPLOYMENT INTO OR WITHIN THE AREA OF A US OR SOVIET DIVISION FORCE OF MORE THAN 10,000 US OR SOVIET GROUND FORCE PERSONNEL IN UNITS OUTSIDE OF THEIR PERMANENT MILITARY GARRISON OR OUTSIDE OF DEFINED GROUND TRAINING AREAS. THE TRAINING AREAS WOULD BE THOSE DEVOTED TO MILITARY TRAINING AND WOULD BE LISTED AND DEFINED IN THE AGREEMENT."

(B) PARAGRAPH 18

"THE US AND USSR WOULD, IN ADDITION, UNDERTAKE NOT TO HAVE PRESENT IN THE TOTAL OF THEIR DEFINED GROUND TRAINING AREAS OR ON ROUTE TO OR FROM ANY DEFINED GROUND TRAINING AREAS MORE THAN AN AGGREGATE TOTAL OF 50,000 TROOPS AT ANY ONE TIME."

THIS DOCUMENT CONSISTS OF: 6PAGES

SECRET

PAGE 04 NATO 03262 01 OF 05 101906Z

ANNEX A OF: 1 PAGE

ANNEX B OF: 2 PAGES

ANNEX C OF: 1 PAGE

ANNEX D OF: 2 PAGES

SECRET

PAGE 01 NATO 03262 02 OF 05 101947Z

73

ACTION ACDA-19

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-07 H-03 INR-10 L-03

NSAE-00 NSC-07 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SP-03 SS-20

USIA-15 TRSE-00 SAJ-01 IO-14 OIC-04 AEC-11 OMB-01

SAM-01 DRC-01 ACDE-00 /152 W

----- 100786

P R 101730Z JUN 74

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 6221
SECDEF WASHDC PRIORITY
INFO AMEMBASSY BONN
USDEL MBFR VIENNA
USNMR SHAPE
USCINCEUR
AMEMBASSY LONDON

S E C R E T SECTION 2 OF 5 USNATO 3262

2. AS A STARTING POINT WE HAVE ASSEMBLED ALL AVAILABLE DATA ON THE LOCATION AND SIZE OF GROUND TRAINING AREAS KNOWN TO BE USED REGULARLY FOR TRAINING TO IMPROVE THE COBAT READINESS OF INDIVIDUALS, GROUPS OR FORMATIONS OF THE ARMED GROUND FORCES WHICH MAY BE UNDER GOVERNMENT OWNERSHIP OR USED UNDER LEASE OR LICENCE FROM NON-GOVERNMENT OWNERS. THE LISTS AT ANNEXES A AND B PROVIDE THIS INFORMATION AND ARE SUPPLEMENTED BY A MAP AT ANNEX C WHICH SHOWS THE LOCATION OF THE TRAINING AREAS LISTED FOR BOTH WARSAW PACT AND NATO IN THE NATO GUIDELINES AREA. IT SHOULD BE NOTED THAT:

(A) THE LIST INCLUDED ONLY THOSE REGULARLY USED TRAINING AREAS CAPABLE OF ACCOMMODATING TRAINING INVOLVING TACTICAL MANOEUVRE.

(B) IT DOES NOT INCLUDE THE SMALL SPECIALIZED TRAINING AREAS WITHIN OR ADJACENT TO UNIT PERMANENT DUTY STATIONS WHICH ARE USED FOR MINOR TACTICAL AND TECHNICAL TRAINING, UNIT EXERCISES ETC..

SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 03262 02 OF 05 101947Z

(C) IT DOES NOT INCLUDE RESTRICTED AREAS, EITHER PERMANENT OR TEMPORARY, USED BY THE WARSAW PACT. THESE ARE NOT CONTINUOUSLY USED FOR COMBAT TRAINING OR FIRING PRACTICE AND THEY ARE REGARDED AS IRRELEVANT TO THE CONTEXT OF THIS PAPER.

3. IN THEIR APPROACH TO THE EXAMINATION THE WORKING GROUP HAVE TAKEN FULL COGNIZANCE OF THE FACT THAT THESE TRAINING AREAS ARE TO BE CONSIDERED IN THE CONTEXT OF STABILIZING MEASURES AS ENVISAGED BY NATO, AND THAT THESE STABILIZING MEASURES WOULD APPLY TO EXERCISES OF GROUND FORCES AT THE LEVEL OF DIVISION OR 10,000 MEN OR GREATER. WHILE MANY OF THE TRAINING AREAS LISTED AT ANNEXES A AND B COULD ACCOMMODATE THE FORCES OF THIS SIZE IN CONCENTRATION, RELATIVELY FEW COULD ACCOMMODATE FORCES ON THAT SCALE FOR TACTICAL EXERCISE PURPOSES.

4. WE HAVE CONSIDERED WHETHER IT WOULD BE MILITARILY AND TECHNICALLY FEASIBLE AND DESIRABLE TO INCLUDE IN "DEFINED GROUND TRAINING AREAS" THOSE WHICH WOULD ALLOW THE WP TO CONCENTRATE A DIVISION OR 10,000 MEN WITHOUT PRIOR NOTIFICATION. THE MAIN FACTORS BEARING ON THIS ISSUE ARE:

(A) IF TRAINING AREAS CAPABLE OF ACCOMMODATING SUCH FORCES WERE INCLUDED IN THE LIST, THE SOVIETS COULD CONCENTRATE DIVISIONS WITHIN THEM CLANDESTINELY (WITHOUT PRIOR NOTIFICATION AND ALSO WITHOUT BREACH OF THE ALLIED PROPOSED MEASURES). IT IS BELIEVED THAT IN THE EVENT THE WP WERE TO EFFECT SUCH CONCENTRATIONS, THE PROVISIONS ON STABILIZING MEASURES, IF NOT THE REDUCTION PROVISIONS THEMSELVES, WOULD HAVE BEEN CALLED INTO QUESTION.

(B) BECAUSE A RELATIVELY SMALL AREA IS REQUIRED IN WHICH TO CONCENTRATE A DIVISION AS COMPARED TO THE AREA REQUIRED TO EXERCISE A DIVISION, INCLUDING SUCH TRAINING AREAS IN A LIST OF "DEFINED GROUND TTRAINING AREAS", RELEVANT TO THE STABILIZING MEASURES ((II) AND (III)) UNDER DISCUSSION, WOULD BE DIFFICULT TO SUBSTANTIATE.

(C) INCLUSION OF A LARGE NUMBER OF SMALL AREAS WOULD INVOLVE MAJR ADMINISTRATIVE EFFORT IN REPORTING.

(D) NATO HAS A RELATIVELY SMALL NUMBER OF TRAINING AREAS
SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 03262 02 OF 05 101947Z

CAPABLE OF ACCOMMODATING A DIVISIONAL SIZE FORCE (OR 10,000 MEN) FOR TACTICAL OR FORMATION TRAINING. IT IS IN NATO'S MILITARY INTEREST TO KEEP THE NUMBER OF "DEFINED TRAINING AREAS" LOW, AS THE WP POWERS, UNDER THE PROPOSED RULES, WOULD BE REQUIRED TO LIMIT AND PRE-ANNOUNCE MAJOR EXERCISES IN ALL AREAS NOT INCLUDED IN THE LIST.

5. THE MBFR WORKING GROUP HAVE THEREFORE SOUGHT TO IDENTIFY THOSE TRAINING AREAS, INCLUDED IN THE LISTS AT ANNEXES A AND B, WHICH WOULD ACCOMMODATE A DIVISION OR 10,000 MEN FOR TACTICAL AND FIELD TRAINING. THE IDENTIFICATION OF THESE AREAS INVOLVES JUDGEMENT AND SOME DEFINITION OF THE TYPE OF TRAINING TO BE UNDERTAKEN IN THE AREA. EXERCISE OF A FULL DIVISION IN FIELD DEPLOYMENT WOULD REQUIRE A VERY LARGE AREA - PROBABLY EXCEEDING THE AVAILABLE TRAINING AREAS AT LEAST IN THE NATO PART OF NGA. HOWEVER, A DIVISION OR 10,000 MEN COULD CONDUCT AN EXERCISE AT BRIGADE LEVEL BUT WITH VERY LIMITED POSSIBILITIES FOR MOVEMENTS OF THE EQUIVALENT OF ONE BRIGADE IN AN AREA EXCEEDING 100 SQ KM. SMALLER TRAINING AREAS IN SIZE OF PERHAPS 45-50 SQ KM COULD BE USED FOR BATTALION GROUP LEVEL EXCERCISES. THE RELEVANCY OF THIS TYPE OF CALCULATION TO THE CONTEXT IS QUESTIONABLE; HOWEVER, IF SUCH AN APPROACH WERE ADOPTED, IT WOULD EXTEND THE NATO LIST OF "DEFINED GROUND TRAINING AREAS" FROM THE 7 WHICH EXCEED 100 SQ KM IN AREA (ALL IN FRG), TO INCLUDE ONE (LEOPOLDSBURG) IN BELGIUM, AND A FURTHER 4 IN THE FRG. THE RECIPROCAL LIST FOR WP COUNTRIES IN THE NGA BASED ON THE SIZE OF TRAINING AREAS, WOULD INCLUDE THE GREAT MAJORITY OF IDENTIFIED TRAINING AREAS IN THE WP COUNTRIES. IF NATO WERE TO AGREE THAT THESE AREAS (NATO: 12; WP: 49) BE INCLUDED IN A LIST OF DEFINED GROUND TRAINING AREAS, 48 PACT TRAINING AREAS INVOLVING A SIGNIFICANT

GEOGRAPHICAL AREA WOULD BE EXEMPT FROM PRE-ANNOUNCEMENT OF EXERCISES.

6. THE US SUBMITTED A PROPOSAL, BASED ON THE NATO PROPOSED PHASE I OF AN MBFR AGREEMENT WHERE US AND USSR GROUND FORCES ONLY SHOULD BE REDUCED AND TAKING FULL ACCOUNT OF THE FACT THAT THE STABILIZING MEASURES, OF WHICH THE DEFINED TRAINING AREAS ARE ONE FACET, WERE DESIGNED FOR THAT FIRST PHASE.

THE INTENT OF THE US PROPOSAL; IN EXEMPTING TRAINING
SECRET

PAGE 04 NATO 03262 02 OF 05 101947Z

IN DEFINED GROUND TRAINING AREAS FROM THE REQUIREMENT TO PRE-ANNOUNCE A MAJOR EXERCISE, (I.E. OF DIVISION SIZE OR 10,000 MEN STRENGTH), IS TO PERMIT THE CONTINUATION OF NORMAL TRAINING PRACTICES BY BOTH SIDES IN SUCH DEFINED GROUND TRAINING AREAS. HOWEVER, BECAUSE OF THE LARGE NUMBER OF TRAINING AREAS USED BY THE SOVIETS, AND THE CAPACITY OF THESE AREAS TO ACCOMMODATE LARGE TROOP CONCENTRATIONS, AN UPPER LIMIT OF 50,000 TROOPS IN (OR EN ROUTE TO OR FROM) DEFINED TRAINING AREAS SEEMED ADVISABLE.

THE SOVIETS USE A CONSIDERABLY GREATER TOTAL AREA OF GROUND TRAINING AREAS THAN DOES THE US. IT IS CONSIDERED THAT USSR ACCEPTANCE OF THE PROPOSED LIMITS ON MAJOR TRAINING EXERCISES AND THE USE OF GROUND TRAINING AREAS WOULD CONTRIBUTE SIGNIFICANTLY TO STABILITY IN NGA. THEREFORE, IN THE US VIEW, THE SOVIETS SHOULD NOT BE PRESSED TO LIMIT THEIR NUMBER OF EXEMPTED GROUND TRAINING AREAS REGARDLESS OF SIZE.

THIS APPROACH WOULD ALLOW THE US AND THE USSR EACH TO LIST WHATEVER "DEFINED GROUND TRAINING AREAS" THEY WISHED, PROVIDED ONLY THAT ANY AREA TO BE INCLUDED MUST MEET THE CRITERIA CONTAINED IN THE FOLLOWING DEFINITION:

" A DEFINED AREA OF LAND WHICH HAS BEEN SPECIFICALLY DESIGNATED FOR THE CONDUCT OF GROUND FORCES TRAINING ON A RECURRING BASIS; WHICH HAS BEEN USED BY THE GROUND FORCES OF THE US OR THE SOVIET UNION FOR A PERIOD OF FIVE YEARS OR MORE; AND WHICH WILL NORMALLY HAVE RESTRICTIONS PLACED ON CIVILIAN ACTIVITIES WITHIN ITS CONFINES."

SECRET

PAGE 01 NATO 03262 03 OF 05 102054Z

73

ACTION ACDA-19

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-07 H-03 INR-10 L-03

NSAE-00 NSC-07 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SP-03 SS-20

USIA-15 TRSE-00 SAJ-01 IO-14 OIC-04 AEC-11 OMB-01

SAM-01 DRC-01 ACDE-00 /152 W

----- 101353

P R 101730Z JUN 74

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 6222

SECDEF WASHDC PRIORITY

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

USDEL MBFR VIENNA

USNMR SHAPE

USCINCEUR

AMEMBASSY LONDON

S E C R E T SECTION 3 OF 5 USNATO 3262

GROUND TRAINING AREAS WHICH MEET THESE CRITERIA COULD BE LISTED, REGARDLESS OF SIZE, BUT ALL AREAS WHICH MEET THESE CRITERIA WOULD NOT HAVE TO BE LISTED. EITHER PARTY COULD CHOOSE NOT TO LIST GIVEN AREAS WHICH MET THIS DEFINITION. BUT TROOPS IN SUCH AREAS WOULD THEN BE SUBJECT TO THE "MAJOR EXERCISE" PROVISIONS. THE US WOULD TAKE NO EXCEPTION TO THE SOVIET LIST AS LONG AS THE US INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION APPEARED TO INDICATE THAT EACH AREA ON THE LIST OF TRAINING AREAS PROPOSED BY THE SOVIETS FOR THEIR FORCES MET THE CRITERIA OF THE DEFINITION.

THE ABOVE APPROACH, SINCE IT IS LIMITED TO US AND SOVIET GROUND FORCES, WOULD NOT INCLUDE DEFINED GROUND TRAINING AREAS ON THE NATO SIDE OUTSIDE THE FRG. OTHER NATO FORCES AND THEIR USE OF THESE AREAS WOULD NOT BE AFFECTED SINCE THE ONLY LIMITS WOULD BE ON US USE OF THESE AREAS.

UNDER THIS PROPOSAL, THE FOLLOWING SEVEN WESTERN GROUND TRAINING AREAS WOULD BE SPECIFIED AS "DEFINED GROUND SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 03262 03 OF 05 102054Z

TRAINING AREAS FOR MBFR PURPOSES":

NAME	LOCATION	SIZE (SQ KM)
GRAFENWOR	QA0911	234
HOHENFELS	QV0857	163
WILDFLECKEN	NA6583	74
BAUMHOLDER	LV7999	113
MUENSINGEN	NU3962	67
SENNELAGER	MC8136	113
BERGEN-HOHNE	ND6349	298

7. THE WORKING GROUP BELIEVE THAT IN STRICT MILITARY/ TECHNICAL TERMS, AND IN THE CONTEXT OF THE STABILIZING MEASURES ENVISAGED IT IS ACCEPTABLE TO LIST AS DEFINED GROUND TRAINING

AREAS:

- (A) THOSE AREAS (ANNEX D) WHICH EXCEED 100 SQ KM; OR
- (B) THE TRAINING AREAS CHOSEN IN THE US PROPOSAL
(PARAGRAPH 6).

THE POSSIBILITY FOR THE USSR IN THE US PROPOSAL TO LIST AN UNLIMITED NUMBER OF TRAINING AREAS HOWEVER, IS OBJECTED TO BY SOME NATIONS.

8. THE TWO ALTERNATIVES MENTIONED IN PARAGRAPH 7 HOWEVER, DO NOT TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THE POLITICAL REQUIREMENT THAT THE APPLICATION OF THE MEASURE SHOULD NOT BE SUCH THAT THE TRAINING AREAS ON NATO'S SIDE ARE CONFINED TO THE TERRITORY OF THE FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY. UNDER THIS HEADNG, WE COULD LIST FURTHER THREE ALTERNATIVES:

(A) TO INCLUDE SMALLER TRAINING AREAS OF A SIZE OF PERHAPS 45-50 SQ KM, CAPABLE OF USE FOR EXERCISES AT BATTALION GROUP LEVEL. IF SUCH AN APPROACH WERE ADOPTED IT WOULD EXTEND THE LIST OF NATO DEFINED GROUND TRAINING AREAS TO INCLUDE LEOPOLDSBURG IN BELGIUM. THE RECIPROCAL LIST FOR THE WP COUNTRIES WOULD INCLUDE A GREAT MAJORITY OF IDENTIFIED TRAINING AREAS IN THOSE COUNTRIES. TWELVE NATO TRAINING AREAS AND 48 PACT TRAINING AREAS WOULD THUS BE EXEMPT FROM PRIOR NOTIFICATION OF EXERCISES WITHIN THEM.

SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 03262 03 OF 05 102054Z

(B) TO APPROACH THE LISTING OF THESE AREAS ON THE BASIS THAT EACH SIDE HAD AN EQUAL NUMBER OF TRAINING AREAS DEFINED, WITHIN WHICH THEY WOULD BE EXEMPTED FROM ANNOUNCING THE DETAIL OF EXERCISES, SUCH A LIST CONSISTING OF LET US SAY 10 TO 12 TRAINING AREAS COULD BE PUT TOGETHER BY EACH SIDE OBSERVING THE FOLLOWING PRINCIPLES:

- (1) TRAINING AREAS TO BE SELECTED SHOULD BE LOCATED AT LEAST IN TWO OR MORE COUNTRIES ON EACH SIDE OF THE NGA (TO AVOID SINGLING OUT THE FRG);
- (2) THE SELECTED TRAINING AREAS SHOULD NOT ONLY BE LOCATED IN CLOSE PROXIMITY OF NATO/WARSAW PACT BORDERS.

UNDER THESE PROVISIONS NATO COULD SELECT AS "DEFINED GROUND TRAINING AREAS" 9 TO 11 TRAINING AREAS LOCATED IN FRG AND 1 LOCATED IN BELGIUM. THE WP WOULD PRESUMABLY, IF THEY ACCEPTED SUCH AN APPROACH, NOMINATE THEIR OWN LARGE-SCALE AREAS.

(C) TO PUT FORWARD A PROPOSAL TO THE EAST THAT, IN RECOGNITION OF THE FACT THAT THE WP HAVE MANY MORE TRAINING AREAS THAN NATO THE DEFINED TRAINING AREAS SHOULD BE BASED ON A PERCENTAGE (SAY) 50 PCT OF THE

KNOWN GROUND TRAINING AREAS ON BOTH SIDES. THIS WOULD, AGAIN, INCLUDE AT LEAST ONE TRAINING AREA FOR NATO OUTSIDE THE FRG. IT WOULD ALLOW THE PACT TO LIST 38 TRTRAINING AREAS IN EAST GERMANY, POLAND AND CZECHOSLOVAKIA.

9. ALL FIVE APPROACHES WOULD MEET THE NATO MILITARY REQUIREMENT THAT APPLICATION OF THESE STABILIZING MEASURES WOULD NOT INHIBIT NORMAL NATO TRAINING, AND IN THE CONTEXT OF THE FIRST PHASE, US TRAINING. WITH REGARD TO THE US PROPOSAL CONTAINED IN PARAGRAPH 6, SOME NATIONS ARGUED THAT APART FROM THE UNDESIRABLE SINGLING OUT THE FRG AN UNRESTRICTED NUMBER OF TRAINING AREAS ON THE OTHER SIDE WWOULD NOT ONLY BE IN CONTRAST TO THE PRINCIPLE OF RECIPROCITY BUT ALSO PERMIT THE WP TO ESTABLISH SOME SYSTEM OF SANCTUARIES EXEMPT FROM PRE-ANNOUNCEMENT. WHEREAS IT IS ACKNOWLEDGED THAT THE FACT OF A NUMERICALLY BIGGER
SECRET

PAGE 04 NATO 03262 03 OF 05 102054Z

MANPOWER ON THE WP SIDE WWOULD NECESSITATE A GREATER NUMBER OF TRAINING AREAS, IT IS HELD THAT NO LIMITATION COULD RESULT IN SOME DISADVANTAGE TO NATO. WHILE IT COULD BE ARGUED THAT STRICTLY IN MILITARY/TECHNICAL TERMS IT IS TO NATO'S ADVANTAGE TO MINIMIZE THE NUMBER OF TRAINING AREAS LISTED BY THE WARSAW PACT, IN THAT ALL DIVISIONAL SCALE EXERCISES OUTSIDE THOSE AREAS WOULD HAVE TO BE PRE-ANNOUNCED IN DETAIL, IT IS STRESSED THAT THE OPERATIONAL SIGNIFICANCE OF THIS ARRANGEMENT IS NOT SUBSTANTIAL. IT IS RECALLED THAT THE PURPOSE OF THESE STABILIZING MEASURES IS TO PROVIDE EACH SIDE WITH A PATTERN OF NORMAL TRAINING MOVEMENT AND THEREBY TO CREATE CONFIDENCE AND TO ENABLE EACH SIDE TO ASSESS THE IMPLICATIONS OF ANY MOVEMENT FALLING OUTSIDE THE NORMAL PATTERN. IT WOULD THEREFORE BE TOLERABLE IN MILITARY TERMS TO ADOPT ANY OF THE SOLUTIONS LISTED AT PARAGRAPHS 6, 7 AND 8 ABOVE.

END TEXT OF REPORT

SECRET

PAGE 01 NATO 03262 04 OF 05 102142Z

73

ACTION ACDA-19

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-07 H-03 INR-10 L-03

NSAE-00 NSC-07 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SP-03 SS-20

USIA-15 TRSE-00 SAJ-01 IO-14 OIC-04 AEC-11 OMB-01

SAM-01 DRC-01 /152 W

----- 101894

P R 101730Z JUN 74

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 6223
SECDEF WASHDC PRIORITY
INFO AMEMBASSY BONN
USDEL MBFR VIENNA
USNMR SHAPE
USCINCEUR
AMEMBASSY LONDON

S E C R E T SECTION 4 OF 5 USNATO 3262

BEGIN TEXT OF ANNEX A

LIST OF GROUND TRAINING AREAS
NATO COUNTRIES

NO. NAME CO-ORDINATES SIZE(KM2)

(A) BELGIUM

1. BRASSCHAAT	FS0488	22
2. ELSEMBRON	LA0096	27
3. LEOPOLDSBURG	FS6065	47

(B) FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY

4. BERGEN-HOHNE	ND5060	298
5. GRAFENWOHR	QA1008	234
6. HOHENFELS	QV0060	162
7. SENNELAGER	MC8550	114

SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 03262 04 OF 05 102142Z

8. BAUMHOLDER	LV8098	112
9. MUNSTER-NORD	ND7571	100
10. MUNSTER-SUD	ND7571	74
11. WILDFLECKEN	NA6282	70
12. MUENSINGEN	NU3763	67
13. HEUBERG	NU0030	47
14. VOGELSANG	LB1808	41
15. HAMMELBURG	NA6449	40
16. HALTERN	LC8238	32
17. DAADEN	MB3040	20
18. SCHWARZENBORN	NB3030	18
19. EHRA-LESSIEN	PD1828	14
20. RAHLSTEDT	ME7902	14
21. ALTENWALDE	ME 7765	10
22. PUTLOS	PF2020	12
23. TODENDORF	PF1020	3
24. SOLTAU-LUENEBURG	-	240

(C) NETHERLANDS

25. OIRSCHOT	8
26. DEN HELDER	3

END TEXT OF ANNEX A

BEGIN TEXT OF ANNEX B

LIST OF GROUND TRAINING AREAS

WP COUNTRIES

NO. NAME CO-ORDINATES SIZE (KM2)

(A) GDR

30	ALTENGRABOW	UT1085	75
31	ANNABURGER HEIDE	UT 6626	90
32	BRUCK	UT4080	-
33	BURG	PC 9090	-
34	DESSAU	VT0040	-
35	DOEBERITZ	UU 6819	38
36	EISENACH/KINDEK	PB0554	94
37	GARDELEGEN	PD 6523	-
38	GERA	TS 9347	-

SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 03262 04 OF 05 102142Z

39	JAEGERBRUECK	UV 4242	136
40	JUETERBOG (WERDER-MARKENDORF)	UT7565	165
41	KEHNURT	VV 0312	-
42	KLIETZ	UU 0538	72
43	KOENINGSBRUECK	VS 2085	80
44	LEHNIN/BUSENDORF	UT 5095	75
45	LETZLINGER HEIDE	PD 7515	225
46	LIEBEROSE/TAUER	VT 5655	160
47	LOSSA	PB6880	95
48	LUEBTHEEN	PE 4505	46
49	NEURUPPIN/STORBECK	UU 4873	9
50	OHRDRUF	PB2530	56
51	ORANIENBURG	UU8040	50
52	ORANIENBAUM/FORST		
	ROTHEHAUS	UT1839	36
53	PARCHIM	PEIOQU	40
54	SAALFELD	PB6514	-
55	SASSNITZ	VA0040	25
56	SCHOENENBECK	VU0370	43
57	TEMPLIN	UU 9085	120
58	WAREN/SPECK	UV5526	120
59	WEISSWASSER	VT 7500	154
60	WITTSTOCK	UU 4590	178
61	WUENS DORF/ZOSSEN	UT 9180	58
62	WUSTROW-KUEHLUNGSBORN	PT 6796	8
63	ZEITHAIN	US8490	56
64	ZEITZER FORST	TS 9353	18
65	ZINGST/DASSER ORT	UA 6034	6
66	DAUBAN/KLEINSAUDERNITZ	VS7381	36

(UNDER CONSTRUCTION)

SECRET

PAGE 01 NATO 03262 05 OF 05 102149Z

73

ACTION ACDA-19

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-07 H-03 INR-10 L-03

NSAE-00 NSC-07 PA-04 RSC-01 PRS-01 SP-03 SS-20

USIA-15 TRSE-00 SAJ-01 IO-14 OIC-04 AEC-11 OMB-01

SAM-01 DRC-01 /152 W

----- 102005

P R 101730Z JUN 74

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC PRIORITY 6224

SECDEF WASHDC PRIORITY

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

USDEL MBFR VIENNA

USNMR SHAPE

USCINCEUR

AMEMBASSY LONDON

S E C R E T SECTION 5 OF 5 USNATO 3262

(B) POLAND

70 ARYS EE 7055 230

71 BOTESLAWIEC/KLITSCHDORF WS 3088 160

72 CZERAK - -

73 CZERWONY BOR ED 7375 120

74 DEBA (ROZALIN) - CA 40

75 DEEP WV 1999 2

76 DRAMBURG (DRAWSKO) WV5020 380

77 GDANSK - CA 60

78 GROSS BORN/MARIENWALDE XV0535 178

79 GRUPPE CE4335 16

80 HAMMERSTEIN XV 2545 35

81 KONSKIE DB 6070 85

82 MIELEC EA 4275 145

83 MUSCHAKEN DE 8020 104

84 NEUHAMMER WT 3503 110

85 SAGAN (KARLIKI WT 2010 130

SECRET

PAGE 02 NATO 03262 05 OF 05 102149Z

86 SCHWIEBUS - CA 28

87 STOLPMUENDE XA1548 20

88 SUCHATOWKA CD 4068 55

89 SZLAGA - -

90 TRZEBIATOW - -

91 TUCHELER HEIDE - -
92 WANDERN WU 2503 130
93 WARTHELAGE R XU 3025 98
94 PRAMSEN XS 8236 8

(C) CZECHOSLOVAKIA

100 BOLETICE (POLLETITE) VQ 3210 156
101 CISARSKY-LES (SLAVKOWSKY-LES - CA 75
(KAISERWALD)
102 DOBRA VODA (GLASERWALD) VQ 8445 120
103 DOUPOV (DUPPAU) UR 6470 320
104 JINCE 'JINETZ) VR 1806 206
105 KAMENICE EV 7506 148
106 KEZMAROK (KASEMARK) DV 7339 172
107 MALACKY XP 6580 380
108 MESTE LIBAVA (STADT LIBAU) XR 8510 310
109 MIMON (NIEMES) VS 8406 188
110 MLADA MILOVICE VR 9267 72
111 OREMOV LAZ CU 7261 126
112 PRIMDA UR 3005 53
113 VYSKOV XQ 4065 120

END TEXT OF ANNEX B

BEGIN TEXT OF ANNEX D

LIST OF GROUND TRAINING AREAS EXCEEDING
100 SQUARE KILOMETRES

NO. NAME COORDINATES SIZE

NATO COUNTRIES

FEDERAL REPUBLIC OF GERMANY

SECRET

PAGE 03 NATO 03262 05 OF 05 102149Z

4. BERGEN- HONE ND 5060 298
5. GRAFENWOHR QA 1008 234
6. HOHEN FELS QV 0060 162
7. SENNELAGER NC 8550 136
8. BAUMHOLDER LV 8098 112
9. MUNSTER-NORD ND 7571 102
24. SOLTAN-LUENEBURG 240

WARSAW PACT COUNTRIES

(A) GDR

39. JAGERBRUCK UV 4242 136
40. JUTERBORG/WERDER-MD UT 7665 165

45. LETZLINGER-HEIDE PD 7515 225
46. LIEBEROSE/TAUER VT 5655 160
57. TEMPLIN UU 9085 120
58. WARREN/SPECK UV 5526 120
59. WEISSWASSER VT 7500 154
60. WITTSTOCK UU 4590 178

(B) POLAND

70. ARYS EE 7055 230
71. BOTESLAWIEC/KLITSCHDORF WS 3088 160
73. CZERWONY BOR ED 7375 120
76. DRAMBURG (DRAWS KO) WV 5020 380
78. GROSS BORN/MARIENWALDE XV 0535 178
82. MIELEC EA 4275 145
83. MUSCHAKEN DE 8020 104
84. NEUHAMMER WT 3503 110
85. SAGAN (KARLIKI WT 2010 130
92. WANDERN WU 2508 130

(C) CZECHSLOVAKIA

100. BOLETICE (POLLETITE) VQ 3210 156
102. DOBRA VODA (GLASERWALD VQ 8445 120
103. DOUPOV (DUPPAU) UR 6470 320
104. JINCE (JINETZ) VR 1806 206
105. KAMENICE LV 7506 148
SECRET

PAGE 04 NATO 03262 05 OF 05 102149Z

106. KESMAROK (KASEMARK) DV 7339 172
107. MALACKY XP 6580 380
108. MESTE LIBAVA (STADT LIBAU) XR 8510 310
109. MIMON (NIEMES) VS 8406 188
111. OREMOV LAS CU 7261 126
113. VYSKOV XQ 4065 120

END TEXT OF ANNEX D

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X
Capture Date: 11 JUN 1999
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: n/a
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 10 JUN 1974
Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960
Decaption Note:
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1974ATO03262
Document Source: ADS
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: 11652 GDS
Errors: n/a
Film Number: n/a
From: NATO
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1974/newtext/t19740687/abbryvne.tel
Line Count: 743
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE
Office: n/a
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 14
Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Reference: A) USNATO 2778 B) STATE 105642 C) STATE 087762
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: golinofr
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags: ANOMALY
Review Date: 20 MAR 2002
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <20 MAR 2002 by kelleyw0>; APPROVED <08 MAY 2002 by golinofr>
Review Markings:

Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: MBFR: DEFINED GROUND TRAINING AREAS
TAGS: PARM, NATO
To: STATE
SECDEF INFO BONN
MBFR VIENNA
USNMR SHAPE
USCINCEUR
LONDON
Type: TE

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005